

1 BRYAN WILSON (CA SBN 138842)
BWilson@mofo.com
2 DIEK VAN NORT (CA SBN 273823)
DVanNort@mofo.com
3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 Page Mill Road
4 Palo Alto, California 94304-1018
Telephone: 650.813.5600
5 Facsimile: 650.494.0792

6 Attorneys for
SONICS, INC.

7
8 ADDITIONAL ATTORNEYS
LISTED ON NEXT PAGE

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

SONICS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

ARTERIS, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 4:11-CV-05311-SBA

JOINT STATUS REPORT

Ctrm: 1, 4th Floor
Judge: Sandra Brown Armstrong

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

1 MARK D. FOWLER (Bar No. 124235)
mark.fowler@dlapiper.com
2 CHRISTINE K. CORBETT (Bar. No. 209128)
christine.corbett@dlapiper.com
3 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
2000 University Avenue
4 East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215
Tel: (650) 833-2000
5 Fax: (650) 833-2001

6 GERALD T. SEKIMURA (Bar No. 96165)
gerald.sekimura@dlapiper.com
7 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
8 San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 836-2500
9 Fax: (415) 836-2501

10 KEVIN C. HAMILTON
kevin.hamilton@dlapiper.com
11 DLA PIPER LLP (US)
401 B Street, Suite 1700
12 San Diego, CA 92101
Tel: (619) 699-2700
13 Fax: (619) 699-2701

14 Attorneys for Defendants
ARTERIS, INC., ARTERIS S.A.S., and
15 ARTERIS HOLDINGS, INC.

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to the terms of the Order Granting Motion to Stay Pending Reexamination (Dkt. No. 78), Plaintiff Sonics, Inc. (“Sonics”) and Defendants, Arteris, Inc., Arteris S.A.S., and Arteris Holdings, Inc. (collectively, “Arteris”) hereby provide the Court with the following joint status update.

I. STATUS OF THE LITIGATION

Pursuant to the Court’s Order dated February 8, 2013 (Dkt. No. 78), this case has been stayed pending reexamination of the patents at issue.

II. STATUS OF THE REEXAMINATION PROCEEDINGS

Sonics has asserted seven patents-in-suit related to network-on-chip technology against Arteris. Defendant Arteris, Inc. filed requests for reexamination of each of the Sonics patents-in-suit. The status of the reexamination proceedings is summarized in the following table.

<u>Patent No.</u> <u>(Reexam. No.)</u>	<u>Claim Status</u>	<u>Reexam. Status</u>
6,182,183 (90/009,992)	No Reexam.: 1-25 Rejected: - Confirmed: -	Request for ex parte reexamination of claims 1-8 was denied May 17, 2012.
6,816,814 (95/000,667)	No Reexam.: 21, 22 Rejected: 1-20, 23-39	The PTAB has confirmed the rejection of claims 1-20 and 23-39. A reexamination certificate canceling claims 1-20 and 23-39 has been issued.
6,961,834 (95/000,669)	Rejected: 1-20	The PTAB has confirmed the rejection of claims 10-17 and added a new ground of rejection for amended claims 1-9 and 18-20. Sonics amended claims 1-9 and 18-20. Sonics and Arteris have fully briefed the PTAB about the issues and are awaiting a decision from the PTAB.
7,191,273 (95/000,663)	No Reexam.: 1, 18, and 19 Rejected: 2-17 and 20-31	A reexamination certificate canceling claims 2-17 and 20-31 has been issued.

Patent No. (Reexam. No.)	Claim Status	Reexam. Status
7,266,786 (95/000,671)	No Reexam.: 2-7, 9-11, 13-28, 31-32, 34, 37-39, and 41 Rejected: 1, 8, 12, 29, 30, 33, 35, 36, 40, 42	<p>The PTAB has confirmed the rejections of claims 1, 8, 12, 29, 30, 33, 35, 36, and 40. The PTAB also added a new ground of rejection for claim 42.</p> <p>Sonics amended claim 42. Sonics and Arteris have fully briefed the PTAB about the issues and are awaiting a decision from the PTAB.</p>
7,277,975 (95/000,668)	No Reexam.: 3, 11, 14-26, and 29 Rejected: 1, 4, 5, 34, and 37 Confirmed: 2, 6-10, 13, 27, and 28 (as issued); 12, 30-33, 35 and 36 (new or as amended)	<p>The PTAB affirmed the Examiner's claim rejections and confirmations. The PTAB denied Arteris' request for rehearing. Neither party appealed to the Federal Circuit.</p>
7,299,155 (95/000,670)	No Reexam.: 10-12, 14, 16, 17, and 19-22 Rejected: 1-9, 13, 15, and 18	<p>The PTAB rejected claims 1-9, 13, 15, and 18 based on new grounds.</p> <p>Sonics amended claims 1-9, 13, 15, and 18. Sonics and Arteris have fully briefed the PTAB about the issues and are awaiting a decision from the PTAB.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: August 8, 2016

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Bryan Wilson

BRYAN WILSON

Attorneys for
SONICS, INC.

Dated: August 8, 2016

DLA PIPER LLP (US)

By: /s/ Christine Corbett

CHRISTINE CORBETT

Attorneys for
ARTERIS, INC., ARTERIS S.A.S.,
AND ARTERIS HOLDINGS, INC.

I, Bryan Wilson, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this
JOINT STATUS REPORT. In compliance with Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that Christine
Corbett has concurred in this filing.

Dated: August 8, 2016

By: /s/ Bryan Wilson

BRYAN WILSON